# Analysis of Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Ghana\*

<sup>1</sup> A. Simons, <sup>1</sup>L. Brew, <sup>1</sup>A. Buabeng and <sup>2</sup> H. Nador
 <sup>1</sup> University of Mines and Technology, Tarkwa, Ghana
 <sup>2</sup>Goldfileds Ghana Limited, Tarkwa, Ghana

Simons, A., Brew, L., Buabeng, A., Nador, H. (2018), "Analysis of Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Ghana", *Ghana Journal of Technology*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 1 - 6.

# Abstract

The alarming rate of emission of Carbon Dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) into the atmosphere and its catastrophic effect on the environment, as monitored by many governmental agencies and researchers has become a source of worry for many nations and therefore needs due attention. The total emissions of  $CO_2$  in Ghana was modelled using the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) statistical technique. First, a direct Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) was applied on all the sectors contributing to the overall  $CO_2$  emission which caused multicollinearity problems. In order to eliminate multicollinearity problems and better understand the interrelationships among these sectors, Factor Analysis was employed after its appropriateness on the data has been tested. The ARIMA technique was then used to develop a model to forecast the total  $CO_2$  emissions in Ghana. It was concluded that, the Transport sector, the Manufacturing and Construction sector, Electricity and Heat sector were the predominant sectors that significantly contributed to the overall  $CO_2$  emission in the atmosphere. It was recommended that the usage of electric and hydrogen fuelled vehicles will be a suitable alternative to conventional fossil fuel vehicles in the future.

Keywords: Energy, Carbon Dioxide, Multiple Linear Regression Model, Factor Analysis, ARIMA

# **1** Introduction

The heavy reliance on fossil fuels to generate has contributed to a number of energy environmental and social problems, including depletion of non-renewable resources and the Ozone layer resulting in global warming. In 2000, the CO<sub>2</sub> emitted in Ghana accounted for 44% of the total Greenhouse Gases (GHG) emissions of which the energy sector contributed 41% of the total emissions (Solomon, 2015). According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), in 2008, the burning of fossil fuels for energy accounted for 65% of the global Greenhouse Gases (Anon., 2012). In addition, Topcu and Ulengin (2004) stated that fossil fuel extraction and conversion to usable energy is a cause of acid rain, therefore, expensive air pollution controls are required. Solomon (2015) indicated that climate change is largely irreversible for 1000 years after the emissions stops and has serious adverse effects on the development of the nation. The most affected areas are agriculture, quality of air and settlement around water bodies.

According to the World Bank's report 2011, the main sectors that are responsible for the  $CO_2$  emissions in Ghana include: electricity and heat generation sector, the transport sector, manufacturing industries and construction sector, residential, public and commercial services, and other sectors. In Ghana, road transportation accounts for over 95% of all transport supply. Adu-Kumi (2012) suggested that more than 70% of major roads in Ghana are occupied by vehicles that produce high amount of exhaust emissions, thereby

increasing the percentage of  $CO_2$  in the air and decreasing its quality. The negative impacts of the polluted atmosphere on the human health and the environment are very alarming and need urgent attention. It is therefore, imperative to analyse the relationship between various sectors and their corresponding level emissions to the overall  $CO_2$  emission in Ghana. The study will then forecast the total emissions of  $CO_2$  using Autoregressive Integrated Moving (ARIMA) technique to assist policy makers and implementers about the direction to go.

# 2 Resources and Method Used

# 2.1 Data Collection

Data was obtained from Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Head office, Accra and International Energy Agency (IEA). The data set spanning from 1980 to 2011, composed of two parts:  $CO_2$  emissions from the energy sector and  $CO_2$  emissions from other sectors that contribute to emissions in Ghana (all in million metric tons). The models employed were the Multiple Linear Regression (MRL) and ARIMA.

# 2.2 Multiple Linear Regression Model

The general form of a multiple regression is:

 $y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \beta_2 x_{2,t} + \dots + \beta_q x_{q,t} + \varepsilon_t$  (1) where  $y_t$  is the variable to be forecast and  $x_{1,t}, \dots, x_{q,t}$  are the *q* predictor variables. The coefficients  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q$  measure the effect of each predictor after taking account of the effect of all other predictors in the model. Thus, the coefficients measure the marginal effects of the predictor variables. When forecasting, the general assumption required for the errors  $(\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_t)$  are as follows:

- i.  $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . (linearity)
- ii.  $\operatorname{var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . (constant variance)
- $\operatorname{cov}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i) = 0$ , for all  $i \neq j$ . (uncorrelated iii. error terms)
- iv.  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0,1)$  (error terms be normally distributed)

## 2.3 Factor Model

The factor model can be thought of as a series of multiple regressions, predicting each of the observable variables  $X_i$  from the values of the unobservable common factors  $f_i$ :

$$X_{1} = \mu_{1} + l_{11}f_{1} + l_{12}f_{2} + \dots + l_{1m}f_{m} + \epsilon_{1}$$

$$X_{2} = \mu_{1} + l_{21}f_{1} + l_{22}f_{2} + \dots + l_{2m}f_{m} + \epsilon_{2} \quad (2)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{n} = \mu_{n} + l_{n1}f_{1} + l_{n2}f_{2} + \dots + l_{nm}f_{m} + \epsilon_{n}$$

The variable means  $\mu_1$  through  $\mu_p$  can be regarded as the intercept terms for the multiple regression models. The regression coefficients  $l_{ii}$ (the partial slopes) for all of these multiple regressions are called factor loadings, where  $l_{ii}$  is the loading of the  $i^{th}$  variable on the  $j^{th}$  factor. And finally, the errors  $\in_i$  are called the specific factors for variable i.

In summary, each of the response variables X is to be predicted as a linear function of the unobserved common factors  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$ . Thus, the explanatory variables are  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$ . Therefore, it is assumed that M unobserved factors control the variation among our data. Generally, Equation (2) can be expressed in a matrix notation as shown in Equation (4).

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & l_{12} & \cdots & l_{1m} \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & \cdots & l_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ l_{p1} & l_{p2} & \cdots & l_{pm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_p \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)  
$$\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{I} \mathbf{f} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
(4)

$$K = \mu + Lf + \epsilon \tag{4}$$

In general, it is expected that m < p.

#### 2.3 ARIMA Model

An ARIMA (p, d, q) model is a combination of Autoregressive (AR) model which shows that there is a relationship between present and past values, a random value and a Moving Average (MA) model which shows that the present value has something to do with the past residuals. The ARIMA process is given as:

$$\phi(B)\Delta^d Y_t = \theta(B)e_t \tag{5}$$

where

 $Y_t$  is the total CO<sub>2</sub> emission at time t

 $\Delta^d$  is the integrated term

 $\phi(B) = (1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 - \dots - \phi_n B^p)$  is the autoregressive

(AR) and  $\theta(B) = (1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2 - \dots - \theta_n B^q)$  is the moving

average (MA) characteristic operators

 $e_t$  is the error term.

The estimation of the model consists of four steps, namely: identification, estimation of parameters, diagnostic checking and finally forecasting.

#### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Descriptive Statistics

From the data collected, the average emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from the various sectors such as transportation, electricity and heat, manufacturing industries and construction, residential and public and commercial services, and other sectors in Ghana were: 0.81, 2.48, 0.73, 0.20 and 0.45 million metric tons respectively as shown in Table 1.

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
Total CO <sub>2</sub> Emission (TCO)	4.47	2.10	1.91	9.25
Sector				
Transport (TRN)	0.81	0.95	0.03	3.10
Electricity and Heat (ECH)	2.48	1.20	0.98	5.46
Manufacturing and Construction (MNC)	0.73	0.35	0.26	1.57
Residential, Public and Commercial (RPC)	0.20	0.10	0.07	0.52
Other (OTH)	0.45	0.13	0.22	0.86

**Table 1 Descriptive Statistics of Data** 

#### 3.2 Multiple Regression Model

Multiple regression analysis is employed in this study to determine the relationships among the various sectors as well as the contribution each sector makes to the annual Total CO<sub>2</sub> (TCO) emitted into the atmosphere. Using TCO emitted as the dependent variable; TRN, ECH, MNC, RPC and OTH denoting the emission of  $CO_2$  emitted from the various sectors considered.

Table 2 presents the results of the multiple regression analysis conducted to the determine the relations as well as the individual contribution each sector makes to the total emission of  $CO_2$ . As observed, the p-value from the F-test of the model (<0.0001), shows that the model is statistically significant. The adjusted R-squared indicates that about 94.77% of the variability of total  $CO_2$  emission is accounted for by the model.

However, the regression model had two major deficiencies: *i.e.* about 67% (4 out of 6) of the parameter are not significant (p-value>0.05). Also, the Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) values of some of the parameters were greater than 10, which is an indication of high level of multicollinearity in the multiple regression model involving all the variables. According to Hair *et al.*, (2013), these deficiencies could lead to the poor estimation of model parameters, affect the relative contribution of each parameter to the total  $CO_2$  emissions (TCO).

Hence, an application of a direct multiple linear regression produced inaccurate interpretations (spurious). In order to solve the multicollinearity problem, Factor Analysis is employed to help identify logical combinations of the sectors and better understand the interrelationships among them.

## **3.3 Factor Analysis**

Preliminary inspection of the correlation matrix in Table 3 reveals that most the correlations among the various sectors (correlations with TCO excluded) are significant at the 0.05 level (9 out of 10, *i.e.* 90%). Also, the Bartlett's test showed that the correlations, when taken collectively, are significant at the 0.0001 level. Moreover, the

overall Measure of Sampling Adequacy (MSA) value is above the acceptable range (0.74>0.5). Collectively, these tests suggested that dataset is appropriate for Factor Analysis (on both an overall basis and for each variable).

Table 3 Pearson	Correlation	among	Variahles
	Correlation	among	v al lables

	Pearson Correlation Coefficients, N = 32 Prob >  r  under H0: Rho=0							
	тсо	ECH	TRN	MNC	отн	RPC		
тсо	1.00000	0.88457 <.0001	0.97296 <.0001	0.96745 <.0001	0.50919 0.0029	0.60798 0.0002		
ECH	0.88457 <.0001	1.00000	0.89476 <.0001	0.90219 <.0001	0.38594 0.0291	0.55903 0.0009		
TRN	0.97296 <.0001	0.89476 <.0001	1.00000	0.98155 <.0001	0.54901 0.0011	0.70668 <.0001		
MNC	0.96745 <.0001	0.90219 <.0001	0.98155 <.0001	1.00000	0.47693 0.0058	0.68725 <.0001		
отн	0.50919 0.0029	0.38594 0.0291	0.54901 0.0011	0.47693 0.0058	1.00000	0.29285 0.1038		
RPC	0.60798 0.0002	0.55903 0.0009	0.70668 <.0001	0.68725 <.0001	0.29285 0.1038	1.00000		

Table 4 contains the eigenvalues and proportion of variance explained regarding the five possible factors. Using the latent root criterion of retaining factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.0, one factor is retained which accounts for about 92.15% of the variance of the five sectors, deemed sufficient in terms of total variance explained. Hence, one factor is retained.

Table 4	Eigenvalues	of	the	Reduced	Correlation
	Matrix				

Factor	Eigenvalue	Proportion	Cumulative
1	3.51	0.92	0.92
2	0.25	0.07	0.99
3	0.12	0.03	1.02
4	-0.01	0.00	1.02
5	-0.06	-0.02	1.00

Table	2	Summary	٥f	Model
Table	4	Summary	UL.	NIUUEI

Table 2 Summary of Woder						
Variable	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	$\mathbf{Pr} >  \mathbf{t} $	VIF	
Intercept	0.9505	0.3506	2.7120	0.0119	0.00	
TRN	1.5366	0.4266	3.6020	0.0014	34.1776	
ECH	-0.1023	0.2050	-0.4990	0.6222	5.3336	
MNC	1.5944	1.3006	1.2260	0.2317	27.4115	
RPC	-2.6829	0.9077	-2.9560	0.0067	1.8635	
OTH	-0.8485	1.0507	-0.8080	0.4270	1.8188	

F-Value=109.80, P-Value=<0.0001, R<sup>2</sup>(adjusted)=0.9477

The retained factor pattern in Table 5 is examined to ascertain the size of each sector's communality. The size of the communality is a useful index for assessing how much variance in a particular sector is accounted for by the factor solution. This is a measure for how well the model performs for that particular sector (Hair *et al.*, 2013).

From Table 5, it is indicated that the Transport sector (TRN) accounts for the highest communality (1.00), which implies that the Transport sector contributes the highest to CO<sub>2</sub> emission. This confirms the findings of Costa and Tian (2015) indicating the Transport sector as one of the principal sources of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This is followed by the Manufacturing and Construction sector (MNC), Electricity and Heat sector (ECH), Residential. Public and Commercial sector (RPC) and the Other sector (OTH) with communalities 0.97, 0.79,0.48, 0.26 respectively. This could be attributed to the numerous number of old age vehicles, poor maintenance culture as well as the poorly regulated emissions from manufacturing factories/industries in Ghana.

**Table 5 Factor Pattern** 

Factor1	Communality				
0.89	0.79				
1.00	1.00				
0.99	0.97				
0.51	0.26				
0.69	0.48				
	Factor1           0.89           1.00           0.99           0.51           0.69				

## 3.4 ARIMA Model

The purpose for employing ARIMA modelling technique in this section is to model the annual total emission of  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere. Fig. 1 shows that the total annual  $CO_2$  emissions from all the sectors exhibit a rough upward trend with no hint of seasonal variations.



Fig. 1 Sequence Plot of total CO2 Emissions in Ghana

# 3.4.1 Analysis of Autocorrelations and Partial Autocorrelations

The ACF plot in Fig. 2 shows a slow decline from its highest value at lag 1 (slow decay), which is typical of a nonstationary time series. This is also confirmed by the p-value (0.99>0.05) from Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test of stationary (order 0) in Table 6. Thus, the series became stationary after second order differencing as shown in Table 6. Hence, the ARIMA model will be of the form (p, 2, q).



Fig. 2 ACF Plot of the Original Series

Table 6 Summary of Stationarity Test on Series

Test	Differencing (P-Value)				
rest	Order 0	Order 1	Order 2		
ADF	0.99	0.104	0.043		

## 3.4.2 Model Identification

In selecting the 'best' ARIMA model for the Total  $CO_2$  emission (TCO), nine competing models were fitted and the result shown in Table 7. The 'best' model is the model with the minimum information criteria, thus, the 'best' model is ARIMA (0,2,1) or IMA (2,1).

 
 Table 7 Competing ARIMA models and Information Criteria

Information officing					
Model (p, d=2, q)	AIC	AICc	BIC		
(0,2,0)	49.21	49.36	50.57		
(1,2,0)	43.26	43.72	46.00		
(0,2,1)	37.78	38.24	40.51		
(1,2,1)	39.39	40.35	43.49		
(2,2,0)	44.55	45.51	48.65		
(2,2,1)	41.38	43.05	46.85		
(0,2,2)	39.36	40.32	43.46		
(1,2,2)	39.50	41.17	44.97		
(2,2,2)	4150	44.11	48.34		

#### 3.4.3 Parameter Estimation of ARIMA (0,2,1)

At this point, the study proceeds to estimate the parameters as shown in Table 8Table and investigate whether the residuals of the selected ARIMA models are normally distributed and uncorrelated between successive residuals.

#### 3.4.4 Diagnostic Checking of ARIMA (0,2,1)

For model diagnostic checking, the p-values from the Box-Pierce test (>0.05) in Table 8Table indicates that the residuals of the model are uncorrelated. Also, the Shapiro-Wilk test (p-value >0.05), indicates that the residuals are normally distributed. This is also confirmed by the diagnostic plots in Fig. 3. Collectively, these tests suggest that the model fits very well.

Table 8 Parameter Estimates of ARIMA (0,2,1)

Variable	Parameter
MA1	-0.8667
Standard Error	0.1049
D: 0 50 (1 D	1 0.00.40

Box-Pierce=0.7261, P-value=0.3942, Shapiro-Wilk=0.9529, P-value=0.1870



Fig. 3 Diagnostic plot of residuals

Since the model fits well, the ARIMA (0,2,1)model for forecasting the total CO<sub>2</sub> emission in Ghana at any time *t* is given by Equation (5).

$$Y_t = 2Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2} + 0.8667e_{t-1} + e_t$$
(5)

The fitted model indicates that current total CO<sub>2</sub> emission is a linear combination of the previous total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and the forecast error.

#### 3.4.5 Forecasting

Using the fitted ARIMA (0,2,1) model from Equation (5), Fig. 4 shows the corresponded forecasted trend of total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Ghana.



Fig. 4 Forecast for Total CO2 Emissions in Ghana

The forecast plot in Fig. 4 shows that the total  $CO_2$ emissions in Ghana had been increasing since 1980 and will continue to increase into the future if proper measures are not taken. Table 9 presents the forecast values (in million metric tons) as well as the corresponding confidence intervals.

Year	Observed	Predicted	Lo (80)	Hi (80)			
2011	9.25	9.50	8.95	10.06			
2012		9.93	9.10	10.77			
2013		10.36	9.27	11.45			
2014		10.79	9.45	12.12			
2015		11.21	9.63	12.79			
2016		11.64	9.81	13.47			
2017		12.07	9.99	14.14			
MADE	(0/) - 2.70						

Table 9 Observed and Forecasted Values

MAPE (%)=2.70

## 4 Conclusion

The study sought to analyse the relationship between various sectors and their corresponding contribution to the total emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> in Ghana. The conclusion drawn from the analysis indicated that the Transport sector was the major contributor, followed by the Manufacturing and Construction sector, Electricity and Heat sector, Residential, Public and Commercial sector and the Other sector. Also, an ARIMA (0,2,1) model for

forecasting the annual total  $CO_2$  emission in Ghana has been developed. It is expected  $CO_2$  will continue to increase and that in 2017, the emissions level would reach 12 million metric tons if proper measures are not taken.

In order to curb high carbon dioxide emission from the transport sector, it is recommended that the use of electric, Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG) and hydrogen fuelled vehicles as an alternative to conventional fossil fuel vehicles in the future.

## References

- Adu-Kumi S. (2012), "Vehicular Emissions and Fuel Economy Standards and Enforcement in Ghana", www.unep.org/transport/pcfv/.../Ghana.../Vehicul arEmissionStandards.pdf, Accessed: November 12, 2014.
- Anon. (2012), "Co<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fuel Combustion Highlights", *IEA Publications, www.iea.org/publications,* Accessed: October 12, 2012.
- Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B. and Anderson, R. (2013), *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 7th Edition, Pearson New International Edition, pp. 89-231.
- Costa, P. and Tian, W. (2015), "A Sectoral Prospective Analysis of CO2 Emissions in China, USA
- and France, 2010-2050", hal-01026302v3, 19 pp.
- Solomon S., Plattner G. K, and Forster P. (2015), "Irreversible climate change due to Carbon dioxide emissions", www.m.pnas.org/content-/106/6/1704.full, Accessed: July 15, 2015.
- Topcu, Y. I., and Ulengin, F. (2004), "Energy for the Future: An Integrated Decision Aid for the Case of Turkey", *Energy*, Vol 29, Issue 1; pp. 137-154.
- World Bank, (2011), "CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Data", www.data.worldbank.org/indicator/Co<sub>2</sub>. Accessed: August 11, 2014.

#### Authors



**A. Simons** is an Associate Professor of Mechanical Engineering and a Consulting Engineer currently working at the University of Mines and Technology, Tarkwa, Ghana. He holds the degrees of MSc from the Belarusian-Russian University, Magilev, Belarus, PhD from St. Pertersburg State Mining Institute(Technical University) St.

Petersburg Russia and NDT Level II From Trinity NDT College Bangalore, India. He is a member of America Society of Mechanical Engineers. His research and consultancy works covers Heat Transfer, Fuels and Internal Combusting Engines, Machine Design, Maintenance Engineering, Accident Vehicle Assessment, Factory Technical Audit and Non Destructive Testing (NDT).



**L. Brew** holds Ph.D Mathematics from University of Mines and Technology (UMaT) Tarkwa, M.Sc Mathematics from Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Tehnology (KNUST), Kumasi, Ghana. He is a Lecturer and a researcher in the Mathematics Department at the University of Mines and Technology, Tarkwa. He worked as Disaster Control Officer at National Disaster Management Organisation (NADMO) at Jomoro District in Western Region until 2010 when he joined the University as Lecturer.



**A. Buabeng** holds BSc. Mathematics from the University of Mines and Technology (UMaT), Tarkwa, Ghana. He is a demonstrator, a researcher and currently pursuing his MPhil. in Mathematics (Statistics) in the University of Mines and Technology (UMaT), Tarkwa, Ghana. Research interests are in time series analysis

and statistical methods for quality improvement. In the time series area, the focus is on building models through dimension reduction methods, multivariate prediction and process control with latent variables, designed experiments.



**H. Nador** holds MSc. Mathematics (Statistics) from the University of Mines and Technology (UMaT), Tarkwa, Ghana and BSc. Mathematics Education from the Uninversity of Education Winneba. He is currently working as Processing Supervisor at Goldfields Ghana Limited, Tarkwa.